

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,074	02/21/2002	Sergey Lopatin	P1406	2324	
25700	7590 11/23/2004		EXAMINER		
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP			FOURSON III, GEORGE R		
26522 LA ALAMEDA AVENUE, SUITE 360 MISSION VIEJO, CA 92691		JITE 360	ART UNIT PAPER NU	PAPER NUMBER	
1,1100101, 71	200, 01. 72071		2823		

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	1		
а	ብ	١A	
٠,	AI.	y١	

			un			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/081,074	LOPATIN, SERGEY	/ 			
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George Fourson	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the data from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mailing the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked.	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ision and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Second in the condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •	•	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				

George Fourson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2823

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Alling discloses an acidic electrolyte bath. However, the reference discloses the use of acid as optional [0031]. Applicant's argument that Alling does not disclose inclusion of a wetting agent or deionized water is not persuasive because the rejection is not predicated on Alling containing those teachings. Kowalski is relied on as providing motivation to employ deionized water as the water of Alling and Krishamoorthy et al is relied on as providing motivation to employ wetting agent. Note that Alling contemplates inclusion of additives [0032].

Applicant's arguments regarding the teachings of Mahneke, Kowalski and Krishnamoorthy are likewise not persuasive because they are also arguments regarding the references individually when the references are employed in combination in a rejection under 35 USC 103. The teachings argued as not contained by the references are not alleged to be contained in the references. It is noted that the references do contain some of the teachings relied on however because Mahneke, Kowalski and Krishnamoorthy et al, similarly to Alling, are directed to plating of metal or metal alloy or copper or copper-zinc alloys and are thus analogous to the teachings of Alling et al.

In regard to the argument related to Kowalski, it appears that applicant is arguing that the wetting agent is not first added to deionized H2O and then to the chemical solution. However, the claims are not so limited.